



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/665,491 05/18/96 KRAMER

R BAND-A

EXAMINER

IM41/0522

HUDAK & SHUNK CO  
SUITE 808  
7 WEST BOWERY STREET  
AKRON OH 44308-1133

SNAY, J  
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1743

DATE MAILED:

05/22/98

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

08/665,491

Applicant(s)

Kramer

Examiner

Jeffrey R. Snay

Group Art Unit

1743



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey R. Snay

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Samuel Laferty, For Applicant

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 20 May 1998Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: of record, generally

Identification of prior art discussed:

of record, generally

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative proposed that the art of record failed to teach application of sulfonic acid as a means to effect coefficient of friction of a wiper blade. The examiner concurred but noted that the instant claims had no such recitation. Applicant's representative noted that the specification would not support the addition of language directed to the increase of coefficient of friction by sulfonic acid.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

JEFFREY R. SNAY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1743

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.